(Separate Revenue)

File No 66 of 1900-01.

SS 1 — 11.

Note on page

Second Councillor order 87- 18th July. 1901.

Ervine.

What is this about and where is the appeal. Init. C.S.

Let me see the papers as soon as I come to office today. Init: C.S. 1.7.01.

Subd with the papers connected.

Subd to 2nd Councillor.

A report has been called for from the Ervine Commission.

Init: LLL 1.7.01

Issue Init H.V.N. 2/7/01.

It is a pity that in so urgent a matter as this, there was a delay of 12 days in calling for a report with record. I can do nothing in this matter in the absence of the report called for. The new year has already commenced and it is not unlikely that the lease expires to

to by the petr: had already been given effect
to — he can take no other action on this
telegram than to ask the Excise commissioner
to expedite his report. Ind: CS. 2/7/01.

Excise draft at once — the delay
was in the Petitnir Branch:
Ind: 3/7/01.

Note on page 12

Subd with reference to this office

No. 28/240 dt. 3rd July. 1901

Subd to Secretary

The Report relates to the 2 petitions on the file, one from Putanumappa and the other from J. Subba Rao. Complaining against the disposal of certain Toddy Shops in the Mysore city.

Subd RA
11/7/01.

I think we may await further reports as well. As it stands the case does not seem very promising for the petrs

Subd to the 2nd Councillor.
11/7. Subd H. V. N.

Rec. 11.7.01.

I don't think we need keep either the petitioners or the successful tenderers in suspense any longer.

The refusal to confirm the first sale and the subsequent disposal of the shops by means of tenders

of leases were put in accordance with ~~[illegible]~~ the Notice — dated 7/3/07 - & no grounds for interference - The petitions are rejected.

13/7/07

Issue.

I believe the further * kept is ready today If so, let me see it at once.

13/7

Issue Ltr 13/7/07

* Not read 13/7/07

SECTION S. R

FILE No. 66 of 1900-01

SERIAL No. 1

REVENUE DEPARTMENT Gl. No. 8140 MAY 1901

From

The Excise Commissioner, Mysore.

440

To

The Secretary to Government Gl. & Rev. Depts

Dated 31st May 1901

Despatched 1st June 1901.

Received

General No. 6144

No. 393

Enclosure No.

Reports the results of the Sales of the Toddy shops of the Mysore city and Taluk for the year 1901-1902.

Recd - 6/1901

S. R.

S. 607

No. 6144
393

Office of the Excise Commissioner
Bangalore. Dated 31st May
1st June 1902

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Secretary to Government
General and Revenue Department

Sir,

I have the honor to annex below the results of the sales of the toddy shops of the Mysore city and taluk for 1901-1902:—

	Rent for 1900-1901.	Rent for 1901-1902.	Difference
	Rs. As. P	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.
Mysore city shops	89940 — o — o	76200 — o — o.	-13740 — o — o.
Mysore taluk rural shops.	10740 — o — o	15697 — o — o.	+4957 — o — o
Total	100680 — o — o	91897 — o — o.	-8783 — o — o

2. The Deputy Commissioner states that taking into account the prospective revenue from the free-rent which will be levied on Government toddy trees, as well as the increase secured in the sales of the rural shops of the Mysore taluk, the net decrease would amount to about Rs 6000 which cannot be helped, as, during the sales for the current year the competition was unusually keen, resulting in loss to most of the lessees, more especially during the prevalence of plague

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

M Krishnaiya

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Transferred to Excise Branch

21.6.01
22

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 5721
JUN 22 1901
DEWAN

R. 487

1901

SECTION S.R

FILE No. 66 of 1900-01

SERIAL No. 2

Name of Petitioner Puttiranappa

Village Toddy Contractor Shop No. 8

Taluk Mysore

District

Date of Arzi 13-6-01

Date of Receipt 14-6-01

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure

24/6/01 Submitted to Secy

If approved this may be sent to Excise Commr for report.

24/6/01

24/6/01

24/6

ABSTRACT

Appeal against the order of the Dy. Comr. Mysore District No. 184 of 25-5-01 which is as follows:-

Notice had been issued for (your) appearance before the District Officer on the 22nd idem. You did not appear that day. As the sale of the Toddy Shops of the Mysore City was confirmed that day nothing further can be done in the matter.

The petitioner urges:-

On 4-5-01 he bought in auction Shop No. 8 called Ejere Kalle Shop in the Mysore

MGOPB—28-3-01—8,000.

[redacted] the Excise Commissioner
the Collector [redacted] on the application of [redacted] to the Excise Commr. the Shops [redacted] in the City were [redacted] to be resold on the 4th idem.

When the sale was published he and some Shops submitted petitions to the Dy. and Excise Comr protesting against the resale the resale however did not take place and the Shops were given to one Bajjanna for Rs. 3000 paid odd in consideration of his arrears without their knowledge.

When they requested the authorities to place them in possession of the Shops bought by them in auction a notice was issued by the Dy. Comr. [redacted] for their appearance before him on 22-5-01. He was not informed of the issue of the said notice through he was at Mysore. One Bajjanna and another Kadukonnina managed to get 7 and 3 Shops respectively.

On coming to know of [redacted] he wired to the Excise Comr. offering Rs. 2000/- more than the amount for which those Shops were disposed of and submitted also a petition praying for Enquiry about the injustice done.

He also submitted another petition praying

that the contract might be [illegible]
for to him and some others who had
sustained heavy loss during the pre-
vious year on account of plague
The petition has not yet been dis-
posed of - there was no fraud dur-
in the forist sale.

With the hope of getting London Shop he
has purchased shops in the Lahore
for a large sum

Prays that on Enquiry and perusal
of all the records orders may be passed
Cancelling the sale of Shops to Bapujana
and Narainmia and conferming
the forist sale:

AK
20/6/01.

Ex 489

6

Transferred to Excise Branch

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No 8723
1901 JUN 22 1901
DEWAN'S OFFICE

SECTION S. R

FILE No. 66 of 1900-01

SERIAL No. 3

No. 2771

Name of Petitioner J. Subba Rao

Village Contractor of Toddy Shops No. 7 of Ecranpore

Taluk Nyanikote residing in Nelamangla

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi

Date of Receipt 15-6-01

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure

24/6/01

Submitted to Secy

This may if approved be sent to the Excise Commr for report

24/6/01

24/6/01

Yes

24/6

ABSTRACT

States that he bought the above Shop in the Auction held on 4-5-01 and paid the deposit amount according to the Rules - that there was no irregularity in the sale - that in spite of this the District authorities published a notification fixing it for resale on the 4th inst - that on this he submitted a petition to the Dy. Comr. protesting against the resale - that no notice was taken of it - that the second sale was not held on the 4th as notified but on 3 P.M. on that date a dark slip was received from Bajjanna father-in-law of Timmaiah, who was present in the previous sale and obtained [illegible] for all the shops

MGCPB—23-3-01—3,600.

[redacted] of shops [redacted]
more than the amount realised on the
previous sale and the same were granted
to him without [redacted]. that
on 20-5-01 he submitted a petition to the
Dy. Comr. praying that the matter might
be disposed of in his presence - that on
this a notice was issued for the appearance
of the parties concerned before him - but
that the notice was not served on them
that the shops were fraudulently distributed
among Brijnarain and Narsinmia
by causing them alone to appear before
him - that this is irregular - that
the Dy. Comr. has not given reply to
any of the petitions submitted in the
matter - that a petition has been
submitted to the Excise Comr. in the
matter - Prays that on perusal of all
the records and his petitions to the Dy. Comr
and on hearing him personally the
first sale may be confirmed -

DK
21/8/01

SECTION S. A

FILE No. 66 of 1900-01

SERIAL No. 4

8

Draft as per Order

Urgent

To

The Excise Commr
in mysore

no 10235 Ex. 524 Dated 26th June 1901

I Has the honor to forwards herewith for report with records, a petition recd no 2737 dd 13th June 1901 from one Puttanaryappa, Toddy contractor complaining that though he had bought the Toddy shop no 8, in mysore city, on the 4th may last it was afterwards given to one Bayanna without his knowledge and praying that the original sale may be confirmed.

"B O,

(Proceedings) 25/6/01

for Secretary

KG
25/6/01.

SECTION S.A

FILE No. 66 of 1900-01

SERIAL No. 5

Received
9/7/07

Draft as forwarded

To Urgent.

The Excise Commr.
in Mysore.

No 10236 Ex 1
Ex. 525
Dated 26th June 1907

Has the honor to forward herewith for report with records if any, a petition Regd No. 2771 dated 15th June 1907 from one J. Subbaiya, Toddy Contractor, Nelamangala, complaining that though the toddy shop no 7 in the Mysore city was bought by him and the necessary deposit paid on the 4th May last, the same was resold on 14th and given away to one Bayyanna without proper notice being given to the petitioner and praying that on a perusal of the records

connected with the case, the original sale may be confirmed.

"B O"

25/6/02

For Secretary

25/6/01.

SECTION S. R

FILE No. 66 of 1907

SERIAL No. 6

2nd Councellors order dated 1st July 1907

Excise

what is this about and where is the appeal.

(sd) C.S.

Let me see the papers as soon as I come to Office to-day

(sd) C.S.

1-7-1.

puttanayappa

purchaser of yelakatta toddy shop no 5, Mysore city,

Bangalore

Telegram

Dated 30th June 1907

praying that till his appeal to Govt re- the unjust disposal of the toddy shop 5, Mysore city by the Deputy Commr, the toddy shop in question may not be put in possession of M Bajjanna

References

Submitted with the papers connected.

1/7/07

Subd to 2nd Councillor

A report can be called for from the Excise Comr

1/7/07

Issued Here 2/7/1907

It is a pity that no urgent telegram was sent, when once a delay of 12 days in calling for a report occurred - I can do nothing in this matter at this distance of time but call for the report as we can then already commence, & this not be by the Rebt has already been given effect to - We can take no other action on this telegram that to call for the report

2/7/07

3/7/07

12

Draft as per order 6.

SECTION S. A

FILE No. 66 of 1900

SERIAL No. 7

To

The Excise Commr.

in mysore.

C

No 78 Ex 8 Ex

Dated 3rd June 1901

3

In continuation of this office no 1023 S Ex 524 dated 26th ultimo, has the honor to forward herewith a telegram dated 30th June 1901 (in original) from ~~Puttanay~~ Puttanayappa, purchaser of Yelakatta toddy shop no 8 praying that pending disposal of his petition about the resale of the toddy shop no 8, the said shop may not be allowed to be worked by Bappanna, and to request that the Excise Commr will be so good as to expedite

mysore city

the

13
the report called for in the
matter.

"B. O."

3/7/41

For Secretary

kg
3/7/01

Ex. 8 14

Excise]



From The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MYSORE,

SECTION General & Revenue Depts

FILE No. 66 of 1900-

SERIAL No. 8

Dated 6th } July 1901
Despatched 8th }
Received

General No. 454
No. 72
Enclosure No. one.

In reply to Secretary's docket
dis 78 dated 3rd July 1901
Ex. 8' SUBJECT.

Submits copy of communication from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District in re 2nd sale of the Mysore city toddy shops for the year 1901-1902, and states that Puttanarsiyya and Kelavangala Subba Rao gave no tenders for the shops and even if they had given any tenders, the Deputy Commissioner would not have accepted them, as they infringed licensee conditions in the year 1900-1901

References
(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

12 10 2 X

Submitted with reference to this office no 78 dated 3rd July 1901.

Subd to Secty ..

kg 11/7/01

This report relates to the two petitions in this file, one from Puttanarsiyya and the other from J. Subbarao complaining against the disposal of certain toddy shops in the Mysore city.

11/7/01

I think we may await further reports as well. As it stands, the case does not seem very promising for the petrs.

Submitted to the 2nd Councillor

11/7

Read 11.7.1901.

I don't think we need ask the petitioners or the successful bidders to show cause against the proposed disposal of the shops

11/7/01

15

Excise Commissioner

No 454 Ex 1/2 Bangalore dated 6/8

From The Excise Commissioner in Mys.

To The Secretary to the Government of Mys General & Revenue dep.

Sir,

In reply to your docket No. 15 Ex 2 dated the 3rd July 1901, I have the to submit herewith a copy of letter No 302 dated 30th May 1901, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District reporting that at the 2nd sale of the Mysore City toddy shops, they were disposed of by means of tenders. Puttanarjappa & Nelavangala Subba Row gave no tenders. The former has been guilty of having sold toddy during the year 1900-1901 at unauthorized rates and thereby committed an infringement of the terms of his license, and the latter is a defaulter from whom large sums of money are still due for the Mysore city and Mandaya taluk I had therefore given instructions to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District that they should not be allowed to hold shops again for the year 1901-1902 Accordingly

Accordingly, even if they had given any tenders, the Deputy Commissioner would not have accepted them. The Deputy Commissioner's reports on their petitions to Government will be submitted on their receipt

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

J M Buccaccio

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

Encl: to SL 8

No. 302

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District
Dated 30th May 1901

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Sir,

With reference to Government Notifications noted in the margin, I have the honor to state that the exclusive privilege of selling Arrack, Toddy and Drugs, in the shops of the Mysore City for 1901-1902, was put up to auction by Messrs. B. J. Komaradasa Naik, Additional Assistant Commissioner and Abdul Aziz, Excise Divisional Officer on the 4th and 6th instant, and the highest bid under each head was Rs 16812/ 73080/ and 3348/ as against Rs 15629/ 89940/ and 1764/, respectively, for the current year. While there was an increase of Rs 1183/ and 1584/ under Arrack and Drugs, there was a large decrease of Rs 16860/ under toddy. The former two sales were accordingly confirmed

R.O. 6965-Ex 342, dated 7th March 1901
R.O. 6981-Ex 346, dated 7th March 1901
R.O. 4997-3, dated 9th March 1901

confirmed; but as there was good reason to fear that the latter unfavorable result was due partly to combination and on receipt of your telegram of the 6th instant, I ordered the resale of the Mysore City Toddy shops on the 14th instant by Mr. B. J. Komarasamy Naik, who conducted the same in the presence of Messrs. Abdul Aziz and Venkata Rangaiengar, Excise Assistant Commissioner, who had been specially deputed by you for the purpose.

At the instance of the Excise Assistant Commissioner and the Excise Divisional Officer, tenders were called for and out of the three tenders that were received, the highest was that of Bajjanna agreeing to pay Rs. 6350 per mensem or Rs. 76,200 per annum for the ten shops of the Mysore City. This shows an increase of Rs 3120 over the last sales and a net decrease of Rs. 13,140. Taking into account the prospective revenue from the free-rent which would come to be levied from the next

official

official year, as well as the increase secured in the sale of the taluk shops, the net decrease would still amount to about Rs 6000/ odd which cannot be helped, as during the sales for the current year, the competition is said to have been unusually keen, resulting in losses to most of the farmers, more especially during the prevalence of the plague. The result of the present resale compares very favourably with the figures for 1899-1900, viz Rs 71,460/, and I have accordingly confirmed the resale.

The three shops of Agrahar, Chintamani and Kamatigere were confirmed in the joint names of Bajjanna and J. K. Narasimmiah, and the remaining seven shops in the name of Bajjanna alone, under a written agreement entered into by them in my presence.

I

I have &c.

(Signed) A. Ramanujaiyangar

Ag Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

469. Ex. 25-D/15/7/1901. Ext. 21

SECTION S. R

FILE No. 66 of 1900-

SER'AL No. 9

Endorsement

In reply to his petition Regd no 2737 ~~dated 13~~ and telegram, dated 13th June 1901 and 30th June 1901 respectively complaining that the Mysore city toddy shop no 8 ~~on the Mysore city~~ which was purchased by him on the 4th May 1901, has subsequently been resold without his knowledge, ~~that~~ and praying that on a perusal of papers connected with the case, the resale may be cancelled and the original sale confirmed in his favour, Puttanaya the Toddy contractor, ~~shop~~ Mysore city, is informed that the refusal to confirm the first sale and the subsequent disposal

of the shops by means of
lenders were quite in
accordance with the notifi-
cation no 6981/Ex 346 & 7th
March 1901. Government therefore
see no ground for interference and
the petition is accordingly
rejected.

The pass for a reply
paid telegram received
with the telegram referred
to above is herewith returned

"B O"

13/7/01
For Secretary

470.
Ex 26 D/15/7/1901.

Copy to Excise Commr
for information with reference
to correspondence ending
with his letter no 454/12

dated 6th July 1904.

"B O

for Secretary

KG
13/7/04

503

Ex 28 of 6/7/1901

16

SECTION S. R

FILE No. 66 of 1900.

SERIAL No. 10

Endorsement

In reply to his petition
dated no 2771 dated 15th
June 1901, complaining that
though the toddy shop no 7
in the mysore city, was bought
by him on the 4th may last
and the necessary deposit
paid, the same was resold
on the 14th ~~may~~ of the same
month without proper notice
to the petitioner and praying
that on a perusal of the
records of the case, the
original sale may be con-
firmed, J. Subba Row
toddy Contractor residing
in Nelamangala is in-
formed that the refusal
to confirm the forst sale
and the subsequent

disposal of the shop by means of tenders were invite in accordance with the notification no. 6987 Ex 346 dated 7th March 1901, and the Government therefore see no ground for interference. The petition is accordingly rejected.

"B O"

13/7/01
For Secretary

504
Ex 29 of 16/7/1901.

Copy to Excise Commr for information with reference to Correspondence ending with his letter no. 454/12 of 6th July 1901

"B O"

For Secretary

16 13/7/01.

Ex 255 26

OFFICE OF THE
10875
20 FEB 1902
FROM

T. N. Purnaiya Esquire BA.,
Excise Commissioner in Mysore
Bangalore.

To
H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire MA, ML.,
Secretary to Govt of Mysore,

Dated 17th } Gen & Rev Depts.
Despatched 19 } February 1902.
Received

General No. 5028
No. 226
Enclosure No.

Subject.

Submits copy of communication from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, reporting the circumstances under which the 2nd sale of the Mysore city Toddy shops for the current year was held.

Excise
Ro. 20

Silent Head

The reference quoted within & the question have been disposed of once for all vide Noo 469/425 & 470/426 dy 15/7/01 and 503/428 & 504/429 dy 16/7/01. No this may therefore be similarly filed.

24/2/02

20/2/02

SECTION S. A
FILE No. 66 of 1900
SERIAL No. 11

No. 5028 Exc/ 226

Excise Commissioners Office
Bangalore, dated 17th February 1902
19

From,

P. N. Krishnaiya Esquire BA.,
Excise Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To,

H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire MA, ML.,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

No 470/426 dt 15/7/01
2 504/429 dt 16/7/01

In continuation of my letter No 454/12, dated the 6th July 1901, I have the honor to submit herewith a copy of letter No 128, dated 5th February 1902, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, reporting the circumstances under which the 2nd sale of the Mysore city toddy shops for the current year was held.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Encl to SC II

28

No 188
Enclosures 1 file

Deputy Commissioners Office
Mysore District.
Dated 6th February 1902

From
The Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

To
The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your no 169, dated 2nd July 1901, forwarding for report, petitions of Puttaniah, and J Subba Rao Toddy contractors, I have the honor to invite your attention to this Office letter No. 302, dated 30th May 1901 and to state as follows.

The Mysore City Toddy Shops were first sold on the 4th May 1901, which resulted in a heavy loss of about Rs 16000/-. This was thought to be due to combination, and on receipt of your telegram of the 6th idem (copy of which is herein enclosed for ready reference)

reference) Stating that the Bellary men desire to bid for the city shops, I ordered the resale of the shops in question on the 14th May 1901.

Mr. B. J. Kumaraswamy Naik conducted the resale in the presence of Messrs Abdul Aziz and Venkata Rangiengar who had been specially deputed by you for the purpose.

The shops appear to have been re-sold in one lot at the desire of the Bellary men, but the amount of bid offered by them was far from satisfactory and Bajjanna one of the original bidders offered the highest bid which was accepted.

Before however confirming the re-sale, I issued notices to all the original bidders to appear before me on the 22nd May 1901, but the petitioners failed to do so. J. Subba Rao moreover was ineligible to bid, having become a defaulter. The resale was therefore confirmed in the name of Bajjanna.

The records connected with the case are herewith forwarded for reference and kind return.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
(Sd) A. Ramanuja Aiyangar
Offg: Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Copy

[redacted]

for Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.